CubeSats in the Heliophysics Division Flight Program

Presentation to the Heliophysics Advisory Committee November 30, 2017

> Dan Moses LCAS and Explorers Program Scientist Dan.Moses@nasa.gov

Historical Perspective on CubeSat use for Heliophysics Research

- 1999: California Polytechnic State University/Stanford developed the concept of a standardized form factor spacecraft for educational purposes.
 - Standardization needed to develop deployers
 - Deployers turned out to be key enabling development
- > Early 2000's: DoD considered extensive use of CubeSats (2000-2007)
 - AFRL and NRL initiate a series of programs
 - ESPA-ring developed during this effort in response to the size limitations of CubeSat
- 2010: NSF initiated a CubeSat program within Geosciences
 - Mix of educational and scientific objectives
 - On orbit success rate ~50% with some notable heliophysics science success
- > 2014 NASA began an exploration of CubeSats with scientific return as the metric for success.
 - Science Mission Directorate CubeSat Initiative Panel (SCIP) is started to fund & manage investigations across the directorate
 - SMD Commissioned National Academy study on CubeSats
 - Released in 2016: Achieving Science with CubeSats: Thinking Inside the Box https://doi.org/10.17226/23503
 - Heliophysics Division hosts the SCIP activity
- Heliophysics Technology & Instrument Development for Science (HTIDeS) Low Cost Access to Space (LCAS) program element of ROSES is used for HPD CubeSat selection
 - LCAS selected CERES (GSFC) in 2013 Pre-SCIP
 - LCAS used as the "on-ramp" for all SCIP selections
 - The NPR 7120.8 approach of LCAS provided appropriate level of management and success rate expectations
 - Good match even though LCAS "Sub-orbital Program" may seem to be misnomer for CubeSat orbital missions



3-U P-POD Design (Poly Picosat Orbital Deployer)

HPD CubeSats

LCAS Funded (2013)

1. CERES - A Compact Radiation Belt Explorer to Study Charged Particle Dynamics in Geospace

➢ SCIP Funded (2014)

- 1. MinXSS Miniature X-ray Solar Spectrometer
- 2. TBEx Tandem Beacon Éxperiment
- 3. SORTIE Scintillation Observations and Response of The Ionosphere to Electrodynamics
- 4. ELFIN STAR- Electron Loss and Fields Investigation with Spatio-Temporal Ambiguity Resolving
- 5. CuSP CubeSat Mission to Study Solar Particles

SCIP + LCAS Funded

- ≻ 2015
- 1. CuPID Cusp Plasma Imaging Detector

▶ 2016

- 1. LLITED Low-Latitude Ionosphere/Thermosphere Enhancements in Density
- 2. petitSat- Plasma Enhancements in The Ionosphere-Thermosphere Satellite
- 3. SPORT Scintillation Prediction Observations Research Task
- 4. CURIE Cubesat Radio Interferometry Experiment

≽ 2017

✤ NO SPOILERS!

► Explorers 2016

- 1. SunRISE: The Sun Radio Interferometer Space Experiment Mission of Opportunity Phase A Study Award
 - Important Note: Explorer MO is conducted as a 7120.5 Class D mission
 - Contract procurement instead of grant
- 2. Numerous competitive proposals employing SmallSat constellations in both SMEX and MO

Future of CubeSats in HPD

• SCIP is ending this year (FY18)

- Beginning in FY19, each division will conduct an independent CubeSat/SmallSat program
- Division-level knowledge sharing within SMD will be achieved through Small Sat Working Group (SSWG)
- Directorate/Center-level knowledge sharing within NASA will be achieved through Small Sat Coordination Group (SSCG)

• SCIP Experience (11 HPD CubeSats) provides basis for future direction within HPD

- Imperative to continue exploiting new capabilities where demonstrated during SCIP
- > Imperative to correct erroneous assertions made under programs with different performance metrics
- Under SCIP, budgets were underestimated for a large fraction of investigations
 - There is a scientifically meritorious class but very specialized class of HPD investigations in the \$2M-\$3M budget regime (appropriate for LCAS)
 - There is another, broader class of CubeSats in the \$5M-\$10M budget regime
- Under SCIP, hardware development durations were often much longer than scheduled
 - Underestimated budgets frequently result in development delays
 - Operations and data analysis budgets frequently absorbed before launch
 - Schedule delays defeat the promise of rapid scientific and technical development
- LCAS proposal format does not provide sufficient technical detail to adequately determine the likelihood of technical success
 - There is no CubeSat Program Office*, so the scope of work for the investigation team is much, much more diverse (*intentionally to avoid stifling technology & industry innovation)
 - HTIDeS is a science program and typical reviewer expertise is primarily science and instrument technology
 - The length of the LCAS proposal limits technical detail required for a TMC (Technology, Management and Cost) evaluation

CubeSat/SmallSat in ROSES 2018

• Solution: add fourth element to HTIDeS for CubeSat/SmallSat

Appendix B.3, HTIDeS, will consist of:

- 1. Laboratory Nuclear Atomic & Plasma Physics
- 2. Instrument Technology Development
- 3. LCAS
- 4. Small Orbital Mission (CubeSat/SmallSat) New Element
- Grant size of typical investigation in new element \$4.5M typical
 - Possibly up to \$10M for compelling investigations TEX (Tiny Explorer)?
 - CubeSat investigations in the ~\$2.5M class remain in LCAS
- New element missions conducted under NRP 7120.8 Still "Sub-Orbital Program"
 - Unclear if NPR 7120.5 Class D can be avoided if a \$10M investigation is selected

• Review of Small Orbital Mission proposals conducted under modified Explorer model

- LCAS-type proposal is submitted in competition for 4-month Phase A study
 - Science Merit and Science Implementation Feasibility are primary selection criteria
 - NASA-funded development of technical and management details
 - End product of Phase A is a Concept Study Report with sufficient detail to evaluate mission viability
- Concept Study Report (CSR) from Phase A study is used to competitively down-select for implementation/flight
 - Langley Research Center (LaRC) Science Office for Mission Assessments (SOMA) will evaluate CSR for TMC
 - Implementation Feasibility and Risk are primary selection criteria
 - Entire cycle is completed in time for proposal modification and re-submission the following year