

Planetary Protection at NASA: Overview and Status

Catharine A. Conley,
NASA Planetary Protection Officer

28 July, 2015

2014 NASA Strategic Goals

Planetary Protection



Strategic Goal 1: Expand the frontiers of knowledge, capability, and opportunity in space.

Objective 1.1: Expand human presence into the solar system and to the surface of Mars to advance exploration, science, innovation, benefits to humanity, and international collaboration.

Objective 1.2: Conduct research on the International Space Station (ISS) to enable future space exploration, facilitate a commercial space economy, and advance the fundamental biological and physical sciences for the benefit of humanity.

Objective 1.5: Ascertain the content, origin, and evolution of the solar system and the potential for life elsewhere.

NASA Planetary Protection Policy

Planetary Protection



- The policy and its implementation requirements are embodied in NPD 8020.7G (NASA Administrator)
 - Planetary Protection Officer acts on behalf of the Associate
 Administrator for Science to maintain and enforce the policy
 - NASA obtains recommendations on planetary protection issues (requirements for specific bodies and mission types) from the National Research Council's Space Studies Board
 - Advice on policy implementation to be obtained from the NAC Planetary Protection Subcommittee
- Specific requirements for robotic missions are embodied in NPR 8020.12D (AA/SMD)
 - Encompasses all documentation and implementation requirements for forward and back-contamination control
- NASA Policy Instruction 8020.7 "NASA Policy on Planetary Protection Requirements for Human Extraterrestrial Missions" released in NODIS as of May 28, 2014

Role of PPS



- Provides expert advice to NASA on planetary protection, as part of the NASA Advisory Council
 - Reviews mission activities and makes recommendations on implementation options
 - Considers and advises on specific points of policy that are below the resolution of international policy set by the Panel on Planetary Protection of the Committee on Space Research
 - Provides guidance regarding programmatic direction and issues of importance/relevance to future missions and implementation of planetary protection requirements
- Serves as a mechanism for interagency coordination within the US Government and internationally
 - Ex Officio membership from a range of US Gov't organizations, as well as other national/regional space agencies

Recent Recommendations

Planetary Protection





- Nov. 2012 meeting
 - No formal recommendations
 - Observations and information
 - Concern expressed regarding inclusion of planetary protection issues in the Office of Chief Engineer study on lessons learned from MSL responses ongoing
- Apr. 2013 meeting
 - Recommendations
 - Include PPO early in mission planning and design in work
- Nov. 2013 and May 2014 meetings
 - No formal recommendations; concerns from above reiterated
- Nov. 2014 meeting
 - Recommendations
 - Improve MSL Project Office Planetary Protection Officer Communications in work
 - Ensure Planetary Protection input to NASA assessment of launch and reentry license applications to the DoT/FAA by Non-Governmental Entities in work
 - Observations and information
 - Pleased by improved communications with InSight, M2020, and HEO
 - Concerned that the reporting line of the PPO be consistent with responsibility to assure continued treaty compliance across programs in multiple directorates
 - Concerned that joint meetings with ESA were not held Lindberg at 4/15 PPWG

Ongoing Actions




- Responses to prior findings
 - SMD lead on responses to Lessons Learned initiated
 - Ensure appropriate requirements flowdown in discussions with M2020
 - Revise/coordinate planetary protection documentation A-M Novo-Gradac
 - Expand training options A. Spry and A-M Novo-Gradac
 - Continue cross-directorate coordination A-M Novo-Gradac
 - Exploring opportunities for interaction with SMA
- Internal SMD activities
 - Ensure appropriate separation of implementation activities in PSD from regulatory/oversight activities of PPO
 - Develop and support Office of Planetary Protection operating plan
 - Anne-Marie Novo-Gradac assigned to support OPP
 - Include planetary protection in Launch Services Contract
 - Work closely with missions, active and in development B. Pugel
 - MSL, M2020, InSight; MAVEN, MOM, MRO
 - Cassini, Dawn, New Horizons, Juno,
 - Europa Concept, Discovery and New Frontiers AOs
 - missions supporting HEO e.g. ARM







Curiosity New Traverse


Many Dark Streaks, Possible RSLs....






CM Dundas & AS McEwen, (2015) Icarus 213-218







M2020: Evolving Concepts for Sampling



tubes

SSB Meeting of Experts Process (PPS participation)

HQ/Mars Exploration Program-convened

Organic Contamination Panel

Start: March 2014

Draft Report: May 16, 2014

PPS Review of MEP convened Organic Contam Panel Report

Finalized late 2014, minority report released early 2015

NRC-convened Meetings of Experts

May 2014 and May 2015, additional meetings in planning

Provide feedback to NASA: support inputs to Earth Safety Analysis

Pending final report from MEP Science Org. Contam Science Study Lead

Ongoing PPS Review

Provided to Mars 2020

Goals:

- •Single PP/Science cleanliness requirement
- •Recorded in L1 Requirements


Planetary Protection for Humans on Mars



Outer Space Treaty:

Protect the Earth, Avoid Harmful Contamination International (COSPAR) Policy:

Adding humans. policy has the same intent but different implementation



Phased Approach: Be careful early; tailor later constraints using knowledge gained

- •Humans have many interests at Mars; understanding potential hazards supports all of them
- •Searching for Mars life becomes more difficult, the more Earth contamination is introduced
- •Future colonization could be challenged, if unwanted Earth invasive species are introduced
 - Blocking aquifers
 - Consuming resources
 - Interfering with planned introductions

NASA Policy Instruction in place: Human mission requirements under development by HEO and SMD



WORKSHOP

Planetary Protection Knowledge Gaps for Human Extraterrestrial Missions

Website:

www.nasa.gov/ames/ppw2015

workshop/

Registration:

www.hou.usra.edu/meetings/p

pw2015/

MARCH 24–26, 2015

NASA AMES RESEARCH CENTER, CA


Planetary Protection Support



- Increased staffing
 - B. Pugel and T. Errigo, detailee/support from GSFC
 - A.-M. Novo-Gradac, assigned from SMD
- Frequent interactions with SMD Deputy AA
 - regular constructive meetings with MEP and M2020 project
 - clarification of roles/responsibilities leading to improved communication and interfaces
- Strengthening interfaces with HEO and STMD
 - identifying Center points of contact beyond HQ
- Budget increasing to accommodate expanded responsibilities
 - improved programmatic support
 - PPR research program selected 6 proposals from ROSES14

Questions?








2012 Discovery Selection






Category IVa Launch March 2016

 Demonstrate, by observation and analysis, that mole will not access Mars special regions

Discovery '14 Released


InSight Landing Selection: Elysium Planitia








Cross Section of Regolith




MarCo CubeSat Secondary Payload

Planetary Protection







- Two Cubesats to follow InSight to Mars and provide communications during EDL.
- Nominal mission is a flyby: Cubesats continue in heliocentric orbit.
- Cubesat launcher is mounted at the base of the upper stage: requires Mars impact avoidance at <1x10⁻⁴, or Burn-up and Break-up analysis.
- Good communication between all payloads and the launch vehicle providers are essential, to ensure that planetary protection requirements on the primary payload are not violated.